arXiv:1704.04083v1 [cs.IT] 13 Apr 2017

Constructions of optimal LCD codes over large finite fields

Lin Sok*, Minjia Shi†, and Patrick Solé‡

Abstract

In this paper, we prove existence of optimal complementary dual codes (LCD codes) over large finite fields. We also give methods to generate orthogonal matrices over finite fields and then apply them to construct LCD codes. Construction methods include random sampling in the orthogonal group, code extension, matrix product codes and projection over a self-dual basis.

Keywords: Orthogonal matrices, complementary dual codes, matrix product codes, optimal codes

1 Introduction

Linear codes with complementary duals, which we refer to as LCD codes, were introduced by Massey in [18]. They give an optimum linear coding solution for the two user binary adder channel. They are also used in counter measures to passive and active side channel analyses on embedded cryptosystems, see [5] for a detailed description. It is known from [19] that LCD codes are asymptotically good.

*Lin Sok, School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui, 230601 and Department of Mathematics, Royal University of Phnom Penh, Cambodia, sok.lin@rupp.edu.kh

†Minjia Shi, Key Laboratory of Intelligent Computing Signal Processing, Ministry of Education, Anhui University, No.3 Feixi Road, Hefei, Anhui, 230039, China, School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui, 230601, China and National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University, China, smjwcl.good@163.com

‡CNRS/LAGA, University of Paris 8, 93 526 Saint-Denis, France , sole@enst.fr

Dougherty et al. [7] constructed binary LCD codes using orthogonal matrices, self-dual codes, combinatorial designs and Gray map from codes over a family of non chain rings of characteristic 2. Liu et al. [15] characterized matrix product linear complementary dual (MPLCD) codes and gave their constructions from orthogonal-like matrices. Using generalized Reed-Solomon codes, the authors of [4] and [12] proved the existence of optimal LCD codes over finite fields with some conditions on lengths and the field sizes. The problem of existence of $q-$ary $[n, k]$ MDS LCD codes has completely been solved by Carlet et al. [6] for the Euclidean case.

Recently, in the paper [20], MDS self-dual codes over large prime fields have been constructed from orthogonal matrices and from the generalized method of [1]. It is important to note that a single orthogonal matrix gives rise to several LCD codes, by a different choice of basis.

From the existence of MDS self-dual codes for example in [8, 13] as well as from MDS self-orthgonal codes, we construct MDS LCD codes with certain lengths. We also generalize the constructions [20] of orthogonal matrices from prime fields to arbitrary finite fields and afterwards we give explicit constructions of LCD and MPLCD codes. Short LCD codes are constructed from orthogonal-like matrices by randomly sampling elements in the orthogonal group and from code extension by two symbols while the long ones are constructed from matrix product codes and from projection over a self-dual basis. Many optimal, almost MDS and MDS codes over different fields are obtained.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II gives preliminaries for LCD codes. Section III proves the existence of LCD codes of certain lengths and also gives method to construct and to extend an LCD code. In Section IV we present numerical results of some optimal codes, almost MDS and MDS LCD codes over different large fields.

2 Preliminaries

A *linear* $[n, k]$ *code* C *of length* n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a k-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. An element in C is called a *codeword*. The (Hamming) weight $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{x})$ of a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the number of non-zero coordinates in it. The *minimum distance (*or *minimum weight)* $d(C)$ of C is $d(C) := \min\{\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}\}$. The *Euclidean inner product* of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$. The *dual* of C, denoted by $C^{\perp}$ is

the set of vectors orthogonal to every codeword of C under the Euclidean inner product. A linear code C is called linear complementary dual (LCD) if $C \cap C^{\perp} = \{0\}$. One among the important parameters for a code is its minimum distance. If C is a linear $[n,k]_{\mathbb{F}_q}$code, then from the Singleton bound, its minimum distance is bounded by

$$d(C) \leq n - k + 1.$$

A linear code meeting the above bound is called *Maximum Distance Separable* (MDS) code. A linear $[n,k]$code C is called *almost* MDS if $d(C) = n - k$. A code is called *optimal* if it has the highest possible minimum distance for its length and dimension and thus an MDS code is optimal. The following result is due to MacWilliams and Sloane [17].

Theorem 1 ([17]) *Let C be an $[n,k,d]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *C is MDS;*
2. *$C^{\perp}$ is MDS.*

3 Construction of LCD codes

The following lemmas characterize LCD codes.

Lemma 1 ([7]) *Let $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k$ be vectors over a commutative ring R such that $\mathbf{u}_i.\mathbf{u}_i = 1$ for each i and $\mathbf{u}_i.\mathbf{u}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Then $C = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k \rangle$ is an LCD code over R.*

Lemma 2 ([18]) *Let G be a generator matrix for a code over a field. Then $det(GG^{\top}) \neq 0$ if and only if G generates an LCD code.*

Remark Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 show that if an $n \times n$ matrix A is orthogonal or orthogonal-like, i.e, $AA^{\top}$ is a diagonal matrix with all diagonal entries nonzero, then any row(s) of A generate an LCD code.

Lemma 3 *Assume that there exists an MDS self-orthogonal $[n,k]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then there exists an MDS LCD $[n-k,k']$ code for $1 \leq k' \leq k$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a self-orthogonal code C with parameter $[n, k, n-k+1]$ and let G be its generator matrix in the systematic form.

$$G = (I_k|A).$$

Take $C_0^{(k')}$ as a subcode of C generated by the last k' rows of G. So $C_0^{(k')}$ is an $[n, k', \geq n-k+1]$ code. Shortening the first $k-k'$ positions of $C_0^{(k')}$, we get a code $C_{00}^{(k')} = [n-k+k', k', n-k+1]$ with its generator matrix

$$G_{00} = (I_{k'}|A^{(k')}),$$

where $A^{(k')}$ is the submatrix of A with the last k' rows. Now puncturing the first k' positions of $C_{00}^{(k')}$, we get, by the Singleton bound, a code $C'_{000} = [n-k, k', n-k+1-k']$ whose generator matrix is of the form

$$G_{000} = A^{(k')}.$$

Finally the result follows from Lemma 1. □

Theorem 2 *We have the following existence results:*

1. *For any even prime power $q = 2^m$, there exists an MDS LCD $[n, k]$ code for $1 \leq n \leq 2^{m-1}, 1 \leq k \leq n$.*

2. *For any odd prime power q there exists an MDS LCD $[n, k]$ code, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, with the following conditions.*

   (a) $n = (q+1)/2$,

   (b) $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ $q \geq 2^{(2n)} \times (2n)^2$,

   (c) $q = r^2$ *and* $2n \leq r$,

   (d) $q = r^2$ *and* $2n-1$ *is an odd divisor of* $q-1$,

   (e) $r \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ *and* $n = tr$ *for any* $t \leq (q-1)/2$.

Proof. 1. and 2.(a) follow from [8] and Lemma 3, where as 2.(b) − 2.(e) follow from [13] and Lemma 3.

Theorem 3 *Let $q = p^m, m > 1$ for some prime p, $n|q-1$ and $k \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$. Then there exists an MDS LCD $[n-k, k']$ code for $1 \leq k' \leq k$.*

Proof. Let $q = p^m, m > 1$ for some prime p and $n|q-1$. Let α be a primitive $n-$th root of unity. From the BCH bound, the polynomial $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k}(x-\alpha^i)$ generates an MDS code $D = [n, n-k]$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with its defining set $T_D = \{1, \ldots, k\}$. It implies, from [[10] Theorem 4.4.9], that the dual of D is an MDS code $C = [n, k]$ with its defining set $T_C = \{1, \ldots, n-k-1\} \cup \{0\}$. Since $k \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$, C is self-orthogonal. Finally the result follows from Lemma 3. □

The rest of our constructions are based on orthogonal matrices. In what follows, we present some elements used to generate an orthogonal group. In the sequel, $\mathbb{F}_q$ denotes a finite field of characteristic p, that is $q = p^m$ for some positive integer m.

The *orthogonal group* of index n over a finite field with q elements is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_n(q) := \{A \in GL(n, q) | AA^\top = I_n\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the set of $n \times n$ permutation matrices.

For $q = 2$, with the convention $\mathcal{O}_n := \mathcal{O}_n(2)$, we have the following theorem due to Janusz [11].

Theorem 4 ([11]) *The orthogonal groups $\mathcal{O}_n$ are generated as follows*

1. *for $1 \leq n \leq 3$, $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{P}_n$,*
2. *for $n \geq 4$, $\mathcal{O}_n = \langle \mathcal{P}_n, T_{\mathbf{u}} \rangle$,*

where $\mathbf{u}$ is a binary vector of Hamming weight 4 and $T_{\mathbf{u}}$ is the transvection defined by

$$\begin{array}{rl} T_{\mathbf{u}}: & \mathbb{F}_2^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^n \\ & \mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}. \end{array}$$

To generate elements of orthogonal group in any arbitrary finite field, we give more general setting as follows. Let $q = p^m$ for some prime p and some positive integer m. Let $\theta = \frac{p-1}{2} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ if $p \neq 2$ and $\theta = 1$ otherwise. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$ such that $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$ and $\mathbf{v} = (\alpha-1)\mathbf{e}_1 + \beta\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{w} = -\beta\mathbf{e}_1 + (\alpha-1)\mathbf{e}_2$. Let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4$ if $n \geq 4$, where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. Define two linear maps

$$\begin{array}{rl} T_{\mathbf{u},\theta}: & \mathbb{F}_q^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n, \\ & \mathbf{x} \mapsto \theta(\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{rl} T_{\alpha,\beta}: & \mathbb{F}_q^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ & \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{v})\mathbf{e}_1 + (\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{w})\mathbf{e}_2. \end{array}$$

Table 1: Comparison between the orders $|\mathcal{T}_n(q)|$ and $|\mathcal{O}_n(q)|$ for $3 \leq q \leq 25$ and $n = 4, 5$

q	$\lvert\mathcal{T}_4(q)\rvert$[3]	$\lvert\mathcal{O}_4(q)\rvert$[16]	$\lvert\mathcal{T}_5(q)\rvert$[3]	$\lvert\mathcal{O}_5(q)\rvert$[16]
3	384	1152	103680	103680
4	3840	3840	979200	979200
5	384	28800	18720000	18720000
7	225792	225792	553190400	553190400
8	258048	258048	1056706560	1056706560
9	1036800	1036800	6886425600	6886425600
11	3484800	3484800	51442617600	51442617600
13	9539712	9539712	274075925760	274075925760
16	16711680	16711680	1095199948800	1095199948800
17	47941632	47941632	4017988177920	4017988177920
19	93571200	93571200	12228071558400	12228071558400
23	294953472	294953472	82966104944640	82966104944640
25	486720000	486720000	190429200000000	190429200000000

Denote

$$\mathcal{T}_n(q) := \begin{cases} \langle \mathcal{P}_n, T_{\alpha,\beta} \rangle \text{ if } n \leq 3, \\ \langle \mathcal{P}_n, T_{\alpha,\beta}, T_{\mathbf{u},\theta} \rangle, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that transvections are linear and of order 2 and thus $\mathcal{T}_n(q)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_n(q)$. The orders of $\mathcal{T}_n(q)$ are calculated in [3] and compared with [16] in Table 1 for some values $3 \leq q \leq 25$ and $n = 4, 5$.

Conjecture For any q and $n \geq 5, \mathcal{O}_n(q) = \mathcal{T}_n(q)$.

Now we introduce some constructions of LCD and matrix product LCD codes from orthogonal matrices.

Proposition 1 *Let $A \in \mathcal{O}_n(q)$ and A_k a submatrix obtained from A by keeping k rows. Then the matrix*

$$G = A_k \tag{1}$$

generates an LCD code.

Proof. Since A is orthogonal, the result follows from Lemma 1. □

Proposition 2 *Let $A \in \mathcal{O}_n(q)$ and A_k a submatrix obtained from A by keeping k rows. Then for any $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$, the matrix*

$$G = diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) A_k \tag{2}$$

generates an LCD code.

Proof. Since A is orthogonal, $GG^\top$ is orthogonal-like and thus the result follows from Lemma 2. □

Proposition 3 *Let $A \in \mathcal{O}_n(q)$ and A_k a submatrix obtained from A by keeping k rows, with k being even. Let $(\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k/2}, (\beta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k/2} \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$ such that $\alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2 \neq 0$ and $D_i = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & \beta_i \\ -\beta_i & \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}$. Then for any $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$ the matrix*

$$G = diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) diag(D_1, \ldots, D_{k/2}) A_k \tag{3}$$

generates an LCD code.
Moreover if k is odd then the matrix

$$G' = diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) diag(D_1, \ldots, D_{(k-1)/2}, 1) A_k \tag{4}$$

also generates an LCD code.

Proof. Since A is orthogonal, $GG^\top$ is orthogonal-like and thus the result follows from Lemma 2. □

Remark In the above constructions, for n large, in practice the orthogonal matrix A are randomly sampled from $\mathcal{O}_n(q)$.

In what follows, we construct longer LCD codes by coordinate extension of shorter codes whose generator matrices are rows of orthogonal matrices. Note that if C_n is a linear $[n, k, d]$ code then C_n can be decomposed as a direct sum $C_n = D \oplus E$, where D (resp. E) is a subcode of C_n of minimum weight d (resp. $e > d$). Moreover the generator matrix G_n of C_n can be written as:

$$G_n = \begin{pmatrix} G_d \\ G_e \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

This decomposition allows us to reduce the complexity of searching good codes efficiently when we want to construct LCD $[n, k, \geq d]$ codes from an LCD $[n, k, d]$ code and when the dimension of the subcode D is large. For example assume that there exist scalars $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$ such that $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. From this data, an LCD code of length $n + 2$ can be obtained, by extending two coordinates, from an LCD code of length n with its generator matrix G_n of the above form (5) as follows.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & \alpha_1 a & \alpha_1 b \\ & \alpha_2(-b) & \alpha_2 a \\ G_d & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \alpha_{2i-1}a & \alpha_{2i-1}b \\ & \alpha_{2i}(-b) & \alpha_{2i}a \\ & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline & \beta_1 a & \beta_1 b \\ & \beta_2(-b) & \beta_2 a \\ G_e & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \beta_{2i-1}a & \beta_{2i-1}b \\ & \beta_{2i}(-b) & \beta_{2i}a \\ & \vdots & \vdots \end{array}\right), \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots \in \mathbb{F}_q$. It should be noted that for q being prime and $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ such $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ does not exist, otherwise there exists $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $c^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod q$.

The following propositions construct LCD $[n + 2, k]$ codes from an LCD $[n, k]$ code, which can later be completed by a direct sum with a one-dimensional code to produce LCD $[n + 2, k + 1]$ codes.

Proposition 4 *Let C_n be an LCD code $[n, k, d]$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with its generator matrix G_n being rows of an orthogonal matrix. Assume that there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$ such that $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod q$. Then for any $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}_q$, an extended code $\bar{C}_n$ of C_n with the following generator matrix $G_{\bar{C}_n}$ is an LCD*

code $[n+2, k, \geq d]$*:*

$$G_{\bar{C}_n} = \begin{pmatrix} & & \lambda_1 a & \lambda_1 b \\ & & \lambda_2(-b) & \lambda_2 a \\ & G_n & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & \lambda_{2i-1} a & \lambda_{2i-1} b \\ & & \lambda_{2i}(-b) & \lambda_{2i} a \\ & & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Since C_n is LCD, with the assumption $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, each row of $G_{\bar{C}_n}$, which is an extended row of G_n, is orthogonal to itself and to the other rows and thus the result follows. □

Recall that the matrix-product code $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_l]A$ is defined as a linear code whose all codewords are matrix product $[c_1, \ldots, c_l]A$, where $c_i \in C_i$ is an $n \times 1$ column vector and $A = (a_{ij})_{l \times m}$ is an $l \times m$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Here $l \leq m$ and C_i is an $[n, |C_i|]_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ code over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $C_1, \ldots, C_l$ are linear with generator matrices $G_1, \ldots, G_l$, respectively, then $[C_1, \ldots, C_l]A$ is linear with generator matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}G_1 & a_{12}G_1 & \cdots & a_{1m}G_1 \\ a_{21}G_2 & a_{22}G_2 & \cdots & a_{2m}G_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{l1}G_l & a_{l2}G_l & \cdots & a_{lm}G_l \end{pmatrix}.$$

A matrix-product code $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_l]A$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ which is linear complementary dual is called matrix-product linear complementary code (MPLCD) over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

The two following lemmas are vital for the construction of MPLCD codes.

Lemma 4 ([14]) *Let $(C_i)_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ be linear codes over F_q with parameters $[n, k_i]$ and A be an $l \times m$ matrix of full row rank. Then $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_l]A$ is an $[mn, \sum_{i=1}^{l} k_i]$ code.*

Lemma 5 ([2]) *Let $(C_i)_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ be linear codes over F_q with parameters $[n, k_i]$ and A be a non-singular matrix. If $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_l]A$, then $([C_1, \ldots, C_l]A)^{\perp} = [C_1^{\perp}, \ldots, C_l^{\perp}](A^{-1})^{\top}$.*

MPLCD codes can be now characterized as follows.

Proposition 5 *Let $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_l$ be linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $A \in \mathcal{O}_l(q)$ and Then $C = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_l]A$ is an MPLCD code if and only if $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_l$ are all LCD codes.*

Proof. From Lemma 5 for any $A \in \mathcal{O}_l(q)$, $([C_1, \ldots, C_l]A)^\perp = [C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_l^\perp]A$.

Assume there exists $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that C_i is not LCD. Then both C_i and $C_i^\perp$ contains a nonzero codeword c_i. Now $[0, \ldots, c_i, \ldots, 0]A \in C \cap C^\perp$ with $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_i, \ldots, C_l]A$, which is a contradiction.

Conversely assume that $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_l]A$ is not MPLCD. Then there exists $(c_1, \ldots, c_l) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$ such that $[c_1, \ldots, c_l]A \in C \cap C^\perp$. Thus $C_i \cap C_i^\perp$ contains a nonzero codeword c_i for some $1 \leq i \leq l$, which is again a contradiction. □

Similarly we have the following characterization.

Proposition 6 *Let $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_l$ be linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $A \in \mathcal{O}_l(q)$ and $\bar{A} = diag(a_1, \ldots, a_l)A$ with $a_1, \ldots, a_l \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$. Then $C = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_l]\bar{A}$ is an MPLCD code if and only if $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_l$ are all LCD codes.*

Proof. Since $\bar{A}$ is a non-singular matrix, from Lemma 5, $\big([C_1, \ldots, C_l]\bar{A}\big)^\perp = [C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_l^\perp](\bar{A}^{-1})^\top$. Now $(\bar{A}^{-1})^\top = D\bar{A}$, where $D = diag(a_1^{-2}, \ldots, a_l^{-2})$. Since $C_i^\perp = a_i^{-2} C_i^\perp$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, we get

$$\big([C_1, \ldots, C_l]\bar{A}\big)^\perp = [C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_l^\perp](\bar{A}^{-1})^\top = [C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_l^\perp]D\bar{A} = [C_1^\perp, \ldots, C_l^\perp]\bar{A}.$$

Now the necessary and sufficient condition can be proved in the same way as in Proposition 5. □

A more generalized case is to consider other orthogonal-like matrices. Let $A \in \mathcal{O}_l(q)$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$. Let $(\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \lfloor l/2 \rfloor}, (\beta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \lfloor l/2 \rfloor} \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\}$ such that $\alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2 \neq 0$ and $D_i = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & \beta_i \\ -\beta_i & \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}$. Set

$$\bar{A} = \begin{cases} diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l) diag(D_1, \ldots, D_{\lfloor l/2 \rfloor})A, \text{ if l is even,} \\ diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l) diag(D_1, \ldots, D_{\lfloor l/2 \rfloor}, 1)A, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Corollary 1 *Let $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_l$ be linear codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\bar{A}$ be as in (8). Then $C = [C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_l]\bar{A}$ is an MPLCD code if and only if $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_l$ are all LCD codes.*

Let $B = \{e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_{\ell-1}\}$ be a self-dual basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, that is,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{e_i}, \mathrm{e_j}) = \delta_{\mathrm{i,j}},$$

where Tr denotes the trace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}$ down to $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker symbol. Define the map $\phi_B : \mathbb{F}_{q^\ell} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\ell$, by the formula

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} a_i e_i \mapsto (a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}),$$

and extend ϕ to $\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}^n$ in the natural way.

Theorem 5 *A linear code C of length n over $\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}$ is LCD if and only if the linear code $\phi_B(C)$ of length $n\ell$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is LCD.*

Proof. See [9].

4 Some numerical results

To illustrate our constructions from orthogonal matrices, we present some numerical results of optmal codes obtained. The generator matrices are available from the author.

We list parameters of LCD codes obtained from our construction in Tables 2–5. Here we describe our constructions:

• Constructions $(1) - (4)$ use random sampling. To obtain the optimal LCD codes with minimum distance d from these constructions, we randomly search for the orthogonal matrices of order $n \times n$ (in $\mathcal{O}_n, n \geq 5$) whose rows have weight $\geq d$ each.

• In construction (7), $b = -1$ and a are fixed and λ_i takes nonzero values in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Note that with a such that $a^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, the set

$$\{(\lambda, \lambda.a) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q\} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 : x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}\}.$$

One matrix product LCD code is obtained as follows.
• $[C_1, \ldots, C_4]A$ with parameters $[16, 4, 12]_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 5 & 6 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ 6 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ 5 & 6 & 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 5 & 2 & 10 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 8 & 8 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, C_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 6 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, C_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 6 & 10 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since there is no MDS linear code with parameters $[16, 4, 13]$ over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$, this almost MDS code is optimal and has new parameters ever.

5 Conclusion

In this article we have constructed optimal LCD codes over large finite fields from optimal self-orthogonal codes and from orthogonal matrices. The latter constructions rely on the presentation of the orthogonal group by generators and relations. Optimal LCD codes from (random) sampling elements in the orthogonal group perform better for small lengths which give us more efficient ways for constructing good long LCD codes by matrix product codes as well as by projection over a self-dual basis.

References

[1] C. Aguilar-Melchor and P. Gaborit, "On the classification of extremal $[36, 18, 8]$ binary self-dual codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 54, no 10, pp. 4743–4750, 2008.

[2] T. Blackmore, G.H. Norton, "Matrix-product codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$," Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.,12 (2001) 477–500.

[3] W. Bosma and J. Cannon, *Handbook of Magma Functions*, Sydney, 1995.

[4] B. Chen and H. Liu, "New constructions of MDS codes with complementary duals," https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.07831

[5] C. Carlet and S. Guilley, "Complementary dual codes for countermeasures to side-channel attacks", *Proceedings of the 4th ICMCTA Meeting, Palmela, Portugal*, 2014.

Table 2: Optimal and best known LCD codes from random sampling, *: new code, (d): minimum distance of an existing code

Over $\mathbb{F}_4$	Over $\mathbb{F}_7$	Over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$	Over $\mathbb{F}_{25}$
$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[6,2,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[6,2,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[6,2,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[6,2,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[6,3,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[6,3,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[6,3,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[6,3,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[7,2,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[7,2,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[7,2,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[7,2,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[7,3,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[7,3,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[7,3,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[7,3,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[7,4,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[7,4,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[7,4,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[7,4,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[7,5,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[7,5,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[7,5,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[7,5,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[8,2,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[8,2,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[8,2,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[8,2,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[8,3,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[8,3,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[8,3,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[8,3,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[8,4,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[8,4,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[8,4,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[8,4,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[8,5,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[8,5,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[8,5,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[8,5,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[8,6,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[8,6,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[8,6,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[8,6,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[9,2,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[9,2,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[9,2,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[9,2,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[9,3,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[9,3,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[9,3,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[9,3,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[9,4,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[9,4,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[9,4,\geq 5]_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}(6)$	$[9,4,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[9,5,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[9,5,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[9,5,\geq 4]_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}(5)$	$[9,5,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[9,6,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[9,6,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[9,6,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}(4)$	$[9,6,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[9,7,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[9,7,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[9,7,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[9,7,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[10,2,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,2,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[10,2,9]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[10,2,9]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[10,3,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,3,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[10,3,\geq 7]_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}(8)$	$[10,3,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[10,4,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,4,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[10,4,\geq 6]_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}(7)$	$[10,4,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[10,5,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,5,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[10,5,\geq 5]_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}(6)$	$[10,5,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[10,6,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,6,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[10,6,\geq 4]_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}(5)$	$[10,6,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[10,7,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,7,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[10,7,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}(4)$	$[10,7,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$
$[10,8,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,8,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[10,8,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$	$[10,8,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{25}}$

Table 3: Optimal and best known LCD codes from matrix product codes, *: new code, **: new parameters, (d): minimum distance of an existing code

$[C_1, \ldots, C_l]A$	Over $\mathbb{F}_q$	$[C_1, \ldots, C_l]A$	Over $\mathbb{F}_q$
$[C_1, \ldots, C_5]A$	$[25, 20, 4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_5}$	$[C_1, \ldots, C_4]A$	$[16, 4, 12]^{**}_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$
$[C_1, \ldots, C_5]A$	$[25, 15, 7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_7}$	$[C_1, \ldots, C_5]A$	$[30, 20, \geq 7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$
$[C_1, \ldots, C_6]A$	$[30, 18, 8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[C_1, \ldots, C_5]A$	$[30, 25, \geq 4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$
$[C_1, \ldots, C_5]A$	$[25, 10, 11]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[C_1, \ldots, C_6]A$	$[32, 6, \geq 21]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$
$[C_1, \ldots, C_7]A$	$[35, 14, \geq 14]_{\mathbb{F}_8}(15)$	$[C_1, \ldots, C_4]A$	$[32, 16, \geq 11]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$
$[C_1, \ldots, C_5]A$	$[35, 30, 4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_9}$	$[C_1, \ldots, C_7]A$	$[49, 7, \geq 34]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{11}}$

[6] C. Carlet, S. Mesnager, C. Tang and Y. Qi,"Euclidean and Hermitian LCD MDS codes," https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.08033v2

[7] S. T. Dougherty, J-L. Kim, B. Ozkaya , L. Sok and P. Sole," The combinatorics of LCD codes: Linear Programming bound and orthogonal matrices," International Journal of Information and Coding Theory, to appear

[8] M. Grassl and T. A. Gulliver, "On Self-Dual MDS Codes" *ISIT 2008*, Toronto, Canada, July 6 –11, 2008

[9] C. Guneri, B. Ozkaya and Solé, "Quasi-cyclic complementary dual codes," Finite Fields and Their Applications, Vol. 42, pp. 67–80, 2016.

[10] W. C. Huffman, V. Pless, Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).

[11] G. J. Janusz, "Parametrization of self-dual codes by orthogonal matrices," *Finite Fields Appl.,* Vol. 13, No. 3,(2007) 450–491.

[12] L. F. Jin, "Construction of MDS codes with complementary dual," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* 2016.

[13] L. F. Jin and C. P. Xing, New MDS self-dual codes from generalized Reed-Solomon codes, arXiv:1601.04467v1, 2016.

[14] S. Ling, P. Solé, On the algebraic structure of quasi-cyclic, I: finite fields, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theorey,* 47(2001) 2751–2760.

Table 4: Optimal and best known LCD codes from from projection over a self-dual basis, *: new code, (d): minimum distance of an existing code

Over $\mathbb{F}_4$	Over $\mathbb{F}_2$	Over $\mathbb{F}_8$	Over $\mathbb{F}_2$
$[4,1,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[8,2,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[4,1,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[12,3,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$
$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[8,4,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$	$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[12,6,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$
$[5,1,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,2,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[5,1,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[15,3,\geq 7]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(8)$
$[5,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,4,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[5,2,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[15,6,\geq 5]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(6)$
$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[10,6,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[15,9,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[11,2,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[22,4,\geq 10]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(11)$	$[6,2,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[18,6,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$
$[11,3,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[22,6,9]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[6,3,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[18,9,\geq 5]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(6)$
$[11,4,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[22,8,\geq 7]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(8)$	$[6,4,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[18,12,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[11,7,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[22,14,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[7,1,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[21,3,\geq 11]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(12)$
$[11,9,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[22,18,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[7,2,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[21,6,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$
$[12,2,9]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[24,4,\geq 11]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(12)$	$[7,4,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[21,12,\geq 4]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(5)$
$[12,3,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[24,6,\geq 9]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(10)$	$[7,5,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[21,15,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[12,4,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[24,8,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[8,1,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[24,3,13]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$
$[12,8,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[24,16,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[8,2,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[24,6,\geq 9]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(10)$
$[12,9,2]_{\mathbb{F}_4}$	$[24,18,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$	$[8,5,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_8}$	$[24,15,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$
Over $\mathbb{F}_{16}$	Over $\mathbb{F}_2$	Over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$	Over $\mathbb{F}_2$
$[4,1,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{16}}$	$[16,4,\geq 7]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(8)$	$[4,1,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{32}}$	$[20,5,\geq 8]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(9)$
$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{16}}$	$[16,8,\geq 4]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(5)$	$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{32}}$	$[20,10,\geq 5]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(6)$
$[5,1,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{16}}$	$[20,4,\geq 9]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(10)$	$[5,1,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{32}}$	$[25,5,\geq 11]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(12)$
$[5,2,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{16}}$	$[20,8,\geq 6]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(7)$	$[5,2,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{32}}$	$[25,10,\geq 7]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(8)$
$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{16}}$	$[20,12,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$	$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{32}}$	$[25,15,\geq 4]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(5)$

Table 5: Optimal and best known LCD codes from projection over a self-dual basis, *: new code, (d): minimum distance of an existing code

Over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$	Over $\mathbb{F}_3$	Over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$	Over $\mathbb{F}_2$
$[4,1,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[12,3,\geq 7]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(8)$	$[3,1,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^8}}$	$[24,8,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_2}$
$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[12,6,\geq 4]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(6)$	$[4,1,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^6}}$	$[24,6,\geq 9]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(11)$
$[5,1,5]_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[15,3,9]^*_{\mathbb{F}_3}$	$[4,1,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^8}}$	$[32,8,\geq 11]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(13)$
$[5,2,4]_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[15,6,\geq 6]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(7)$	$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^8}}$	$[32,16,\geq 8]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(10)$
$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[15,9,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_3}$	$[4,2,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^9}}$	$[36,18,\geq 6]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(8)$
$[5,4,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[15,12,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_3}$	$[5,1,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^7}}$	$[30,6,\geq 12]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(14)$
$[6,1,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[18,3,\geq 11]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(12)$	$[5,1,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^8}}$	$[40,8,\geq 14]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(16)$
$[6,2,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[18,6,\geq 8]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(9)$	$[5,2,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^7}}$	$[30,12,\geq 7]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(9)$
$[6,3,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[18,9,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_3}$	$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^7}}$	$[35,21,\geq 5]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(7)$
$[6,4,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[18,12,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_3}$	$[5,3,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^8}}$	$[40,24,\geq 5]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(7)$
$[6,5,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[18,15,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_3}$	$[5,4,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^7}}$	$[35,28,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[7,1,7]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[21,3,\geq 13]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(14)$	$[5,4,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^8}}$	$[40,32\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[7,2,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[21,6,\geq 10]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(11)$	$[5,4,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^9}}$	$[54,36,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[7,3,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[21,9,\geq 7]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(9)$	$[5,4,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^{10}}}$	$[50,40,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[7,5,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[21,15,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_3}$	$[6,5,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^7}}$	$[42,35,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[8,1,8]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[24,3,\geq 15]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(16)$	$[6,5,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^8}}$	$[48,40,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[8,3,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[24,9,\geq 9]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(10)$	$[6,5,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^9}}$	$[54,45,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[8,5,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[24,15,\geq 5]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(6)$	$[6,5,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^{10}}}$	$[60,50,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[8,6,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[24,18,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(4)$	$[6,5,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}}$	$[72,60,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[9,1,9]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[27,3,\geq 17]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(18)$	$[7,3,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^8}}$	$[56,24,\geq 10]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(12)$
$[9,4,6]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[27,12,\geq 8]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(9)$	$[7,6,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^8}}$	$[56,48,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[9,5,5]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[27,15,\geq 6]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(7)$	$[7,6,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^9}}$	$[63,54,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[9,6,4]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[27,18,\geq 5]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(6)$	$[7,6,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^{10}}}$	$[70,60,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$
$[9,7,3]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{27}}$	$[27,21,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_3}(4)$	$[7,6,2]^*_{\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}}$	$[84,72,\geq 3]_{\mathbb{F}_2}(4)$

[15] X. Liu and H. Liu, "Matrix-Product Complementary dual Codes," https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.03774

[16] F. MacWilliams, "Orthogonal matrices over finite fields," Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969) 152–164.

[17] F.J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane.: The theory of error-correcting codes. Elsevier, 1977.

[18] J.L. Massey, Linear codes with complementary duals, Discrete Mathematics, 106–107, 337–342, 1992.

[19] N. Sendrier, "Linear codes with complementary duals meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound," Discrete Math., 304(2004) 345–347.

[20] M. Shi, L. Sok and P. Solé,"Construction of MDS self-dual codes from orthogonal matrices," https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07736.